## For the Northern District of California

1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5			
6			
7	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,		
8	Plaintiff,	No. C 09-3142 PJH (PR)	
9	VS.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
10	S. WILLIAMS, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,		
13	Plaintiff,	No. C 09-3143 PJH (PR)	
14			
15	VS.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
16	SHASTA COUNTY,		
17	Defendants/		
18	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,		
19	Plaintiff,	No. C 09-3165 PJH (PR)	
20	vs.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
21	STRINGFELLOW, et al.,		
22	Defendants.		
23	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,		
24	Plaintiff,	No. C 09-3166 PJH (PR)	
25	VS.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	
26		ONDER OF DISMISSAE	
27	CDC, et al.,  Defendants.		
28			

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,	
2	Plaintiff,	No. C 09-3195 PJH (PR)
3	VS.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
4		
5	MUTLOS, et al.,	
6	Defendants/	
7	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,	No. C 00 2406 D ILL (DD)
8	Plaintiff,	No. C 09-3196 PJH (PR)
9	VS.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
10	ERIC S. PIERSON, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12	LAMES I VAIN O'LUNES	
13	JAMES LYNN O'HINES,	No. C 09-3197 PJH (PR)
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
15	VS.	
16	SOUTH MOUNTAIN DISTRICT POLICE STATTION, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	TI	Clark

These pro se civil rights actions were filed a prisoner confined in Florence, Arizona. On the day they were opened the court notified plaintiff he had neither paid the filing fee nor submitted applications for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Copies of the court's form for applications to proceed in forma pauperis were provided with the notices, along with return envelopes. Plaintiff was warned to pay the fees or file IFP applications within thirty days or the cases would be dismissed.

Plaintiff has not responded. These cases therefore are **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The clerk shall close the files.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 27, 2009.

United States District Judge

G:\PRO-SE\PJH\CR.09\O'HINES3142.DSM-IFP.wpd